43 MEE 306

WILBERT O. FISH, et ux

NO. 29,519 EQUITY

VS.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR

HALL E. SAYLOR, et ux

FREDERICK COUNTY, MARYLAND

SUPPLEMENTAL ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Hall E. Saylor and Erma R. Saylor, his wife, Respondents, by Mary E. Storm, their attorney, for further answer to the Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment heretofore filed against them, say:

That said Motion for Summary Judgment in this case should not be granted due to the fact that there is a genuine dispute between the parties as to material facts as evidenced by the Respondents' answer to this suit, by their previously filed Answer to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment, which is incorporated herein by reference, and as further evidenced by the affidavits of the Respondents herein and of Draper K. Sutcliffe, which are attached hereto and intended to be a part hereof.

WHEREFORE, Respondents request that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment be denied.

Hall E. Saylor

Erma R. Saylor

Attorney for Respondents

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 13^{+1} day of December, 1979, a copy of the foregoing Supplemental Answer to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment was mailed, postage prepaid, to Peyton Paul Phillips, Esq., Attorney for Plaintiffs, P.O. Box 688, Frederick, Maryland 21701.

Mary E. Storm

STORM AND STORM

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
FREDERICK, MARYLAND
Tiled December 13, 1979